

APPENDIX D: HERITAGE ASSETS

Appendix D: Heritage Assets

ID	Rep ID	Name. Organisation	Legally compliant	Duty to Co-operate	Sound	Positively prepared	Justified	Effective	Consistent with national policy	Hearing/ written rep	Supporting docs	Summary of representation	Proposed change to Local Plan	Council response	Contact details
Representations from Technical Stakeholders															
None received.															
Representations from Businesses, Landowners and Developers															
None received.															
Representations from Community Representatives															
1021156	LPPuD188	Great Bromley Parish Council	Y	Y	N	X	X	X		H	N	The Parish Council notes that the draft Local Plan is proposing to remove several Protected Lanes including Little Bromley Road, Mary Lane North, Wick Lane in Ardleigh and Ravens Green. The Parish Council opposes this proposal and requests that they are retained, and the Parish Council also questions the rationale for removal. The lanes are very popular with dog walkers. The section of the draft Local Plan was under Heritage Assets, Table E2	Reinstate Protected Lanes designation at Little Bromley Road, Mary Lande North, Wick Lane and Ravens Green.	The decisions to amend the Protected Lanes came from Essex County Council's review: "Tendring District Protected Lanes Assessments" paper. It is no longer considered that these particular lanes meet the criteria for designation.	Details only available to the local authority and the Inspector's Programme Officer.
1007323	LPPuD202	Mistley Parish Council	Y	Y	N		X			H	N	Query about the omission of Swan Basin and the Hopping Bridge, both Heritage Assets in Mistley from Table D.4 - Scheduled Monuments on pages 291 to 292.	Consider the inclusion of Swan Basin and the Hopping bridge in Table D.4.	Historic England is the designating authority for heritage assets. It is for Historic England to renew their list from time to time. At present, the Swan Basin and the Hopping Bridge are not designated as heritage assets in their own right.	Details only available to the local authority and the Inspector's Programme Officer.
1021400	LPPuD513	(Former) Councillor Rosemary Heaney	Y	Y	N		X				N	I am objecting to the removal of narrow country roads from the register of Protected Lanes. This appears under Heritage Assets under table E.2 on page 308 and 309. I am objecting to removal of Little Bromley Rd, which is in both Ardleigh and Gt. Bromley, Mary Lane Gt. Bromley, and Ravens Green, Little Bentley from the register of protected lanes. By Mary Lane I presume the council means Mary Lane North as this road is now cut in two by the A120. Mary Lane South is residential, while Mary Lane North retains its rural character. These three roads are very narrow one track lanes with hardly room for cars to pass down most of their length. They are totally rural in character with few houses on them apart from part of Ravens Green, and a few in Mary Lane North. I cannot see why the council would consider moving these special lanes from the Protected Lanes Register. They for fill every criteria for protected lanes.	Reinstate Protected Lanes designated at Little Bromley Road, Mary Lane and Ravens Green.	The decisions to amend the Protected Lanes came from Essex County Council's review: "Tendring District Protected Lanes Assessments" paper. It is no longer considered that these particular lanes meet the criteria for designation.	Details only available to the local authority and the Inspector's Programme Officer.
Representations from Members of the Public															
None received.															

The schedules of heritage assets within Appendix D reflect those designated assets including Conservation Areas (Table D.1), Protected Lanes (Table D.2), Historic Parks and Gardens (Table D.3) and Scheduled Monuments (Table D.4). To be included on the list as a designated heritage asset, there are separate processes outside of the Local Plan. The main comments above relate to the removal of certain Protected Lanes from the schedules, but this stems from a review undertaken by Essex County Council which considered the various lanes against up to date criteria.

It is suggested that Beth Chatto Gardens is now added to the schedule of Historic Parks and Gardens to reflect its 2020 designation.

Suggested modification: Amend Table D.3 – Historic Parks and Gardens to include recently designated Beth Chatto Gardens:

Table D.3 - Historic Parks and Gardens

Monument Title
Clacton Seafront Gardens (Grade II)
St. Oysth Priory (Grade II)
Thorpe Hall (Grade II)

Monument Title
Clacton Seafront Gardens (Grade II)
St. Oysth Priory (Grade II)
Thorpe Hall (Grade II)
<u>Beth Chatto Gardens (Grade II)</u>