

Person ID	ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Full Name	Organisation Details	paragraph - What paragraph of the document does your comment relate to?	comment summary - Please summarise your response here:
714889	SAA3	Dr Annie Gordon	Conservation Officer Essex Wildlife Trust			7.1.6 Sustainability Objective 6: Protect and enhance natural, historic and environmental assets	<p>We note that the Tendring Local Wildlife Sites Review was published in 2009. A new review needs to be undertaken in order that the local plan is supported by a robust, up-to-date evidence base. The Local Wildlife Site network should not be regarded as a static system; since 2008 there have been continuing changes in the agricultural environment, in the extent of built development, and in the quantity and quality of information regarding the species and habitats present in the county. Local Wildlife Site policy in respect of site selection criteria has also evolved in response to national guidance. This process is likely to continue with further agricultural changes looming as a result of Brexit, and other less certain impacts due to climate change. In addition, further potential Local Wildlife Sites are likely to have arisen since 2008, through habitat creation or as a result of new information or improved access, and these sites will need to be assessed against the site selection criteria. As the criteria have been updated there is a need to review the status of the existing sites as well. As a minimum standard, all grassland sites should be reviewed every 5 years and woodlands reviewed every 10 years (as a reflection of their slower rate of change unless actively managed).</p>
714889	SAA4	Dr Annie Gordon	Conservation Officer Essex Wildlife Trust		Overall		<p>Dear Planning Policy Team, Essex Wildlife Trust has submitted comments in response to the recent Draft Local Plan consultation which ended on 28th July. However, the online consultation response form did not provide an opportunity to comment on the local plan evidence base. We note that the Tendring Local Wildlife Sites Review was conducted by EECOS in 2008 and the report was published in 2009. We have previously advised yourselves that this review should now be considered out of date and a new review needs to be undertaken in order that the local plan is supported by a robust, up-to-date evidence base. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) states that: Para. 158 Each local planning authority should ensure that the Local Plan is based on adequate, up-to-date and relevant evidence about the economic, social and environmental characteristics and prospects of the area. Para. 165 Planning policies and decisions should be based on up-to-date information about the natural environment and other characteristics of the area including drawing, for example, from River Basin Management Plans. Working with Local Nature Partnerships where appropriate, this should include an assessment of existing and potential components of ecological networks. The Local Wildlife Site network should not be regarded as a static system; since 2008 there have been continuing changes in the agricultural environment, in the extent of built development, and in the quantity and quality of information regarding the species and habitats present in the county. Local Wildlife Site policy in respect of site selection criteria has also evolved in response to national guidance. This process is likely to continue with further agricultural changes looming as a result of Brexit, and other less certain impacts due to climate change. In addition, further potential Local Wildlife Sites are likely to have arisen since 2008, through habitat creation or as a result of new information or improved access, and these sites will need to be assessed against the site selection criteria. As the criteria have been updated there is a need to review the status of the existing sites as well. As a minimum standard, all grassland sites should be reviewed every 5 years and woodlands reviewed every 10 years (as a reflection of their slower rate of change unless actively managed). We strongly recommend that Tendring DC undertakes the necessary review of local wildlife sites in the district to ensure that the local plan evidence base is fully up to date and fit for purpose. This will avoid the risk of the draft new plan being rejected by the planning inspector as unsound. We have submitted a summary of the above comments in response to the current Sustainability Appraisal Addendum consultation.</p>

1007178	SAA10	David Wendon		Thank you for notifying me of the Tendring District Council Local Plan Section Two: Draft Publication (Regulation 19) Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Addendum: Appraisal of New Policy HP4 - June 2017. It is a sure thing that TDC has to sell their plan to get it authorised but as a member of the public I see little value in this document.	Thank you for notifying me of the Tendring District Council Local Plan Section Two: Draft Publication (Regulation 19) Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Addendum: Appraisal of New Policy HP4 - June 2017. It is a sure thing that TDC has to sell their plan to get it authorised but as a member of the public I see little value in this document. However the statement that 'the Garden Community at Tending / Colchester Borders (assessed in Section One) will have significantly positive impacts associated with the provision of new infrastructure in line with the scale proposed' prompted me to search for information on North Essex Garden Communities and found the Movement and Access Study PT6 re Central Colchester Terminal Bus Capacity. I was interested to see in that document that Essex County Council are currently developing a 'Bus Blue Print' for Colchester town centre given the shortage of terminal capacity at Osborne Street for additional services. Officers are working with the Operators and looking at alternative routeing options. Additional services for the Garden Community in West Marks Tey and West Tendring provide an added impetus for this Blue Print to provide passive provision for future growth. At Alresford our most frequent bus services are to Colchester and concur with the shortage of bus capacity. A series of bus stops on a street corner does not create a bus station and the move from the old Queen Street site has been a disaster. If the will was there the a section of the remaining old site could still serve as a purposeful bus station. What Colchester, as a principal north Essex town, has in Stanwell Street is a disgrace and insult to the term 'bus station' and needs sorting now not in however many years time when the Colchester/Tendring Garden community is built. Â
1007238	SAA13	Carol Bannister	2	Re: Sustainability Appraisal (SA) Addendum: Appraisal of New Policy HP4 - June 2017 Given that Weeley is the smallest of the Rural Service Centres it is vitally important that the character and identity of this village is retained and that the existing vibrant community does not become 'swallowed up' by inappropriate, disproportionate development. Consequently, I support wholeheartedly Section (d) of Policy HP4 - Safeguarded Green Spaces which stresses that development on Safeguarded Local Green Spaces will not be permitted unless development of the site would not result in the loss of an area important to visual amenity. Weeley's main recreation area, adjacent to the Village Hall and St Andrew's Primary School, has a distinctive rural backdrop in the form of The Spinney and its safeguarded status is vitally important to ensure our rural environment is maintained. Of equal importance are the small areas of Spencer Way and Loop Green, which also contribute to the rural nature of our village.	
1007238	SAA14	Carol Bannister	2	However, I read with concern in Section 7.1.3 Sustainability Objective 3: Harness the District's Economic Strengths that Tendring District Council continues to suggest that the main focus of growth for the District should be not only in the existing Strategic Urban Settlements and Smaller Urban Settlements but also in the Rural Service Centre of Weeley.	
1007311	SAA2	Laura Percy	Chelmsford City Council	1.1	This addendum does not alter Chelmsford City Council's response to the Regulation 19 consultation.
1021103	SAA6	Mr Peter Cannons		7.1.2	There is no Significantly Positive Impact likely to arise from the allocation of agricultural land for housing development (98 dwellings) in Landermere Road Thorpe-le-Soken in relation to the Sustainable use of Land
1021103	SAA7	Mr Peter Cannons		7.1.3	There is no Significantly Positive Impact likely to arise in relation to minimising the need for travel in respect of the Landermere Road Site which is remote from the Village Centre and Railway Station.
1021103	SAA8	Mr Peter Cannons		7.1.4	There are Uncertain Cumulative Impacts in relation to traffic flow in both the High Street (already a bottleneck) and in Landermere Road Thorpe-le-Soken, where traffic is becoming increasingly busy due through traffic arising from current developments in surrounding towns and villages.
1021103	SAA9	Mr Peter Cannons		7.1.6	The inclusion of a development site in Landermere Road, Thorpe-le-Soken can only result in a potentially adverse impact on the Hamford Water SPA/Ramsar site, as the Urban Sprawl edges recklessly close to Tendring's greatest environmental asset.

1022789	SAA15	Martin Robeson Martin Robeson Planning Practice	2	On behalf of our client Britton Properties Limited and his associates businesses we thank you for the opportunity to submit representations to the above sustainability appraisal addendum. Our client is a highly active development company focused in the Tendring area where it has been active over the last three decades. Our client also holds a substantial stock of freehold and other land and interests across the district. Having acted for Britton Properties in related businesses for many years, we have a very good understanding of the social, economic and environmental issues affect the district. We consider that the sustainability appraisal of new Policy HP4 has been positively prepared than consistent national policy and that the sustainability appraisal fully assess the objectives of Policy HP4 in relation to the short medium to long term policy impacts. We agree that the policy will have positive impact on relevant sustainability objects including the effect use of land in so far as it seeks to safeguard green space but does not restrict the principle of development on green spaces should the policy of criteria be met. We support the Local Plans sustainability objectives and preference for a number of strategic mixed use developments, particularly within the Clacton area to enable growth to develop in line with the social and economic sustainability objectives to deliver a suitable mix of types in 10 years sustainable locations.
1104613	SAA11	Dr Josie Close	7.1.2 & 7.1.6 & 7.1.7 & 7.1.8	7.1.2 Make efficient use of land by increasing the density of development and allow common land (rather than large private gardens) to be used for play and communal recreation. This would increase the social cohesion of the community. 7.1.6 The value of historic and heritage sites and buildings needs to be reinforced. It is becoming too easy to lose the smaller buildings, of definite local character and historic relevance in the pressure to stretch the slashed budget. Once gone these modest buildings cannot be replaced and the townscape and built environment is changed forever. Additionally, the new-build development needs to be much more ambitious in its design and sustainability goals to create the new character of the twenty-first century. Presently new development is a pastiche of quasi-rural style with no real response to climate-change - using the sun/wind(ventilation)/rainwater to good effect - or making use of modern materials and building techniques.. 7.1.7 Tendring with the support of ECC and the nation generally is looking to cleaner transport. Hybrid and natural gas buses are already in use in other towns - around the world! . We should not be accepting worse air quality through additional transport but setting up policies that ensure the transport itself is clean. Highways England, ECC and Tendring need to be thinking ahead about electric vehicle charging points including fast-charging provision. 7.1.8 UK is far behind other European countries (Germany/Denmark) in applying recycling treatment methods to grey water. Apart from sophisticated membranes simple 'constructed wetland' methods have been used for centuries in rural areas with great effect.Â
1105026	SAA1	Mr Alan Valentine	Policy HP4	Safeguarded spaces. This policy is already breached by allowing Cockaynes Lane, Alresford to be significantly changed by the granting development permission South side & possible development on the North side. Note, it is a lane, with preserved natural surroundings and no equal green space provided by these developments (ref.7.1.6). So no confidence. The tables presented are confusing and do not provide information. The original Garden City/Town term has been hi-jacked and renamed Garden Community. They are not the same thing! I agree with properly planned Garden Cities/Towns with planned infrastructure and services, but this so called Garden Community project between Elmstead Market and Greenstead Est is a blatant tack-on with problematic infrastructure issues. Ref7.1.4.So4 you seem to think there will be Significant positive cumulative impacts for this area. What about those wishing to access Colchester from the East? I foresee nothing but more misery for those traveling into the bottleneck Clingoe Hill A133.What is your plan to deal with this? Good place for a Park & Ride?Â 7.1.8 Sewerage is already inadequate on the East side of Colchester at Colne River. Strong smells are frequently experienced and I believe there is a history of raw discharge. This needs attention.I would only support a properly planned Garden Town well away from Colchester. Eg North of the A120 fairly close to Harwich to help develop jobs and the economy in general with good opportunities for trade.
1116227	SAA5	mrs margaret merrill	housing needs	I Â don't begin to understand most of the document but must comment on the amount of housing being built in the area, a lot of it on farmland. I think this is a very shortsighted policy as we will need to grow more of our food in future after leaving the EU No provision is made for the extra people Dr's, Schools and Roads, we already have problems in the area and this will just add to them, Where will all these extra people work?
1117146	SAA12	Ms Clare Dawson Babergh District Council	7.1.6	Â When preparing the RAMS - We recommend theÂ North Essex AuthoritiesÂ mitigation measures take note of and are consistent with theÂ HRA RAMSÂ for Ipswich Borough, Babergh District and Suffolk Coastal District Councils with regards toÂ impact upon the Stour and Orwell SPA Â Â Â