

Order	Person ID	ID	Full Name	Organisation Details	Full Name	Organisation Details	Please specify which section of the Publication Draft Local Plan your comments relate to by choosing one of the following:	Legally Compliant (Q1) Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant?	Soundness (Q2) Do you consider the Local Plan is sound?	If you do not consider the Local Plan is sound, please specify on what grounds:	Duty to Co-operate (Q3) Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the Duty to Co-operate?	Enter your full representation here:	Summary - Please If your summary is more than 100 words, please provide a brief summary here:	Please specify the changes needed to make the Plan sound/legally compliant:	Do you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination?	Do you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination?	If Yes - you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:	Did you raise the matter that is the subject of your representation with the LPA earlier in the process of the preparation of the Local Plan?	If Yes - which stage?	Do you wish to be notified?	Response to representation - Council's response to representation	Number	Recommended Change Required?	Categories	Recommended Change Reason	Type	Recommended Change Reason	Type	Number	Email Address
625	1105928	LPPuD83	Lorrimar Investments Ltd	MR LESLIE SHORT	Director Artisan PPS Ltd	Section 2 Tendring	Yes	No	Consistent with National Policy; Effective; Justified; Positively prepared	Yes	We object to the exclusion of Site UE 1.10 (Land off Centenary Way, Clacton) from growth at a scale commensurate with that projected for the Tendring District or with its sustainability status as a Strategic Urban Settlement (Policy SPL 1). Restricting development in higher order settlements such as Clacton in the draft Local Plan is both contrary to the presumptions of para.14 of the Framework and to the whole of the Rural Housing section of the National Planning Practice Guidance which states "Rural housing is essential to ensure viable use of local facilities" and "all settlements can play a role in delivering sustainable development in rural areas" and so blanket policies restricting housing development in some settlements and preventing other settlements from expanding should be avoided unless their use can be supported by robust evidence" (National Planning Guidance Paragraph: 001 Reference ID: 50-001-20160519) The Council's site sustainability assessment of Site UE 1.10 could not be more unequivocal or positive. We say that a larger allocation in Clacton is required for the reasons set out in earlier paragraphs and that the site can be delivered and delivered now. The summary site assessment presented to previous meetings of the Council's Local Plans Committee reported by Officers, appears clear and conclusive. There is no sound planning reason why the land should be dropped from the allocations process. The Council's application of its own sustainability appraisal at SHLAA assessment stage and since for the site requires update given the change of occupancy and should be reclassified as a brownfield site to which priority for housing allocation should be given. The allocation of site UE 1.10 would contribute positively to meeting the identified housing needs of Clacton and the site could deliver commensurate levels of infrastructure to support new development in a sustainable way whilst providing greater market choice. At the very least, those already living in Clacton, have every right to expect the Tendring Council to deliver that choice in its land allocations and Local Plan.	Yes	Yes	To facilitate the robust examination of this policy in the context of the representation as submitted.	Yes	Preferred Options	When the document is submitted for independent examination?; When the Inspectors Report is published?; When the document is adopted?	12.0.4			Web	Web	12.0.4							