

Received On

12 JUL 2017

To TDC Planning Officer

TDC Offices

Thorpe Road Weeley

CO 16 9AJ

By Planning Services

I/we the undersigned do hereby object to the proposed building of 280 new homes reference Policy SAMUS, Development South Of Thorpe Road Weeley for the following Planning reasons:

Any such proposals must accord to the written document Local Plan Draft Publication. Several of the policy references below are either in direct contravention of the policy references OR would be a NEGATIVE aspect if adopted. (reference; Section Two Local Plan (SA))

- (a) Homes of mixed size/ affordable housing-Negative aspect and detrimental to the Local Plan
- (b) 1 hectare of employment land-negative aspect and NOT viable (see roads infrastructure ref)
Would also contravene local plan policies stating that such developments shall NOT be detrimental or hazardous to local road usage)
- (c) 1 hectare of open space (IS already there but would be ruined hence a NEGATIVE aspect)
- (d) 2.1 hectares of new 56 place primary school (sect 106 etc) NOT practical due to location and already over- crowded/congested road network locally. Also NOT feasible due to upward transition of pupils to secondary schools that are already oversubscribed where education authorities have already stated that they cannot fund similar.
- (e) Site entry is proposed to be onto THORPE Road that is already vastly over congested at most times-this highway is the ONLY main feeder to/from Frinton-Walton - The Kirby's and Thorpe and is MOST hazardous hence is a NEGATIVE aspect and in contravention of the Local Plan Policy
- (f) As above
- (g) As above making this aspect none viable -already the local road infrastructure has seen more than 10 fatalities including a sad case of a cyclist too.
- (h) The farcical proposal of a cycle/pedestrian bridge across the railway will never be possible due to the further off site aspect of any bridge will be in direct CONFLICT of the PRIVATE farm/ landowner who specifically WILL NOT TOLERATE building of such a structure NOR cyclists or ordinary members of the public on HIS land-WHERE would such a bridge cross over TO and WHY?
- (i) NO modern building could possibly fit this criteria where the whole site is proposed on an historic site dating from Napoleonic times not to mention a complete ruination of the current vista that is in direct conflict with several references the Local Plan Policy. It could NOT ever possibly avoid conflict and visual impact
- (j) As above ...ANY such development ON THIS SITE would certainly be of a NEGATIVE impact and destroy architectural and the surrounding historic environment permanently.

- (k) Current drainage issues have proven to have a NEGATIVE impact where the clay based spoil is NOT easily drainable and current issues are being investigated due to issues with the smaller nearby developments-(e.g. several current drainage/sewerage issues)
- (l) Section 106 : issues yet to be proven reliable (e.g. financing schools that the Education Authority state they cannot find anyway and there being no current or forecasted places for an upward movement of said pupils in the foreseeable future?
- (m) Section 106 : The NHS have already stated that they are unable to fund any such new initiatives for the foreseeable future.

Signed Valerie Tyler (name) VALERIE TYLER
Address 33 COLCHESTER RD Post Code CO16 9JT
Date 12/7/17