

1007208

Received On

12 JUL 2017

By Planning Services

To TDC Planning Officer

TDC Offices

Thorpe Road Weeley

CO 16 9AJ

I/we the undersigned do hereby object to the proposed building of 280 new homes reference Policy SAMU5, Development South Of Thorpe Road Weeley for the following Planning reasons:

Name R. Howard Address 69 Colchester Rd Post Code CO16 9AJ Date 7.7.2017

(A) Any such proposals must accord to the written document Local Plan Draft Publication. Several of the policy references below are either in direct contravention OR detriment to the policy references OR would be a NEGATIVE aspect if adopted. (reference; Section Two Local Plan (SA))

- 1) Several anomalies ref; Spatial Portrait (2;1) Detrimental to Weeley Village (e.g, Reason for selection:-"Weeley is a broadly sustainable location, with both strategic road and rail links" etc etc (Section 2 Local Plan SA) this is both misleading also untrue, and is at best a farcical statement where local roads are over already congested with frequent LONG tailbacks, also with several recent fatalities that includes a cyclist. The POOR rail service is at best a local shuttle service between villages. It has NO parking facilities AT ALL now and a recently installed ticket machine is not functioning hence tickets cannot be purchased. It has but ONE train per hour and NO Sunday service at all.
- 2) Policy SP3 (Sustainable design shall make a POSITIVE contribution etc) the only contribution the proposed site would make MUST be a NEGATIVE one. Hence this is detrimental to the village and against the general interest of the villagers and is a distinct NEGATIVE aspect.
- 3) Policy HP1 (Improving Health and Well Being?) This would most certainly be detrimental and prove a NEGATIVE impact on Weeley Village with total disregard to local residents well being? Currently the village does NOT have a pharmacy nor a GP surgery and the NHS have already proven unable to fund similar. (65% plus of residents are aged 65 plus)
- 4) Policy HP2 Green spaces-WEELEY already HAS such "Green Spaces" yet they will be all built over IF this site is approved!
- 5) Policy HP2: This will be a NEGATIVE aspect and detrimental to the Local Plan Policy and NPPF criteria.
- 6) "Assets of Community Value" If the proposed site was agreed then this WILL be detrimental to NPPF Para ;70
- 7) Policy LP3 ...Detrimental to current local scene and nearby areas of beauty (ANOB) also an historic wood!(with private access only)
- 8) Policy PP8; already flawed and detrimental to WEELEY'S PROTECTED employment/Tourism etc, due to ALREADY over congested roads that will only be worsened by this developments traffic.